JUL 1 7 2006

JUL 1 7 2006

JUL 1 7 2006

JUL 1 7 2006

Certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the

Signature:

Wall.

Docket No.: TEVIDR 3.0-108 CIP

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Sandor Solyom, et al.

date shown below.

Dated: July 13, 2006

Application No.: 10/771,847

Confirmation No. 9738

Filed: February 3, 2004

Art Unit: Not Known

For: NOVEL SUBSTITUTED

2, 3-BENZODIAZEPINE DERIVATIVES

Examiner:

PETITION TO WITHDRAW THE HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant requests that the abandonment in this case be The undersigned attorney has recently taken over representation of the assignee for the above application. the file that an official Notice evidence in Abandonment was ever filed by the Patent Office. Since the last PTO correspondence requiring a response contained in the file was mailed on October 25, 2004, and since there is no evidence of a response to that Notice, Applicants are proceeding with petition under the assumption that this case is abandoned. Enclosed is a copy of a power of attorney and a statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Review of the file contents indicates that the abandonment of the application occurred without fault of Applicants. During prosecution, prior counsel for Applicant authorized the Patent Office to deduct "any necessary fees" to maintain pendency of

672716_1.DOC

Application No.: 10/771,847 Docket No.: TEVIDR 3.0-108 CIP

the application. However, the basic filing fee was never deducted.

Specifically, submitted herewith are photocopies of Notice to File Missing Parts dated May 5, 2004 (which requested payment of the basic filing fee), a Response to the Notice to File Missing Parts dated October 7, 2004, and a Notice of Incomplete Reply dated October 25, 2004. The Notice of Incomplete Reply indicated that additional fees were due including the statutory basic filing fee. the Response, however, Applicant explicitly October 7, 2004 authorized that "any necessary fees" should be charged to its The subsequent Notice of Incomplete Reply deposit account. contains no explanation why the Patent Office did not charge the basic filing fee to Applicant's deposit account. Nor does it state that basic filing fees cannot be paid in this manner.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests that the abandonment be withdrawn and that the examiner proceed with examination of this application on the basis of the copies of the papers originally filed.

For any fees associated with the filing of the Non-Provisional application, including the statutory basic filing fee and additional claims fee, the Patent Office is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor. Moreover, should any other fees be due in connection with this request, the PTO is authorized to charge the aforementioned deposit account.

Application No.: 10/771,847 Docket No.: TEVIDR 3.0-108 CIP

Dated: July 13, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Shawn P. Foley

Registration No.: 33,071 / LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP

600 South Avenue West Westfield, New Jersey 07090

(908) 654-5000

Attorney for Applicant